

BULLETIN OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES TECHNICAL SCIENCES, Vol. 72(3), 2024, Article number: e149232 DOI: 10.24425/bpasts.2024.149232

On applications of quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs to Denial-of-Service protection

Ewa GIREJKO[®]* and Agnieszka B. MALINOWSKA[®]

Bialystok University of Technology, Wiejska 45, 15-351 Białystok, Poland

Abstract. This paper addresses the problem of designing secure control for networked multi-agent systems (MASs) under Denial-of-Service (DoS) attacks. We propose a constructive design method based on the interaction topology. The MAS with a non-attack communication topology, modeled by quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs subject to DoS attacks, can be represented as a switched system. Using switching theory, we provide easily implementable sufficient conditions for the networked MAS to remain asymptotically stable despite DoS attacks. Our results are applicable to both continuous-time and discrete-time systems, as well as to discrete-time systems with variable steps or systems that combine discrete and continuous times.

Keywords: multi-agent system; Denial-of-Service attack; asymptotic stability; switched systems; quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of powerful communication technologies has led to rapid development in cyber-physical systems, integrating physical plants with communication networks and computational devices. However, reliance on communication networks underscores the need to design control algorithms resilient against malfunctioning communication links. Periodic interruptions of communication links may serve as models of malicious attacks, where adversaries eliminate some communication links. This phenomenon is known as a Denial-of-Service (DoS) attack (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). Thus, there is a need for control algorithms capable of achieving synchronization even in the presence of temporarily unavailable communication links.

In recent years, networked security control subject to DoS attacks has garnered considerable attention (see [2-10] and references therein). Random DoS attacks have been considered in [4,9,11], while multi-agent systems subject to asynchronous denial-of-service attacks have been studied in [2,5]. A dwell time approach [6,7] and an event-triggered mechanism [3,8,10] have become effective strategies for researching secure control under DoS attacks. In [12-15], a switching approach was proposed, wherein the authors dealt with the presence of DoS attacks by considering two modes: stable and unstable. The control mechanisms designed in those papers can effectively mitigate the impact of frequency- and duration-limited DoS attacks.

In this paper, we propose a switching approach to address the problem of designing secure control for networked multi-agent systems (MASs) under DoS attacks. Unlike previous works, we present constructive design conditions based on the interaction topology of MASs. Specifically, we assume that the topology of

Manuscript submitted 2023-09-13, revised 2023-09-13, initially accepted for publication 2024-02-07, published in May 2024.

the original network of agents is described by a quasi-Abelian Cayley graph that is undirected and connected. This assumption enables us to consider various models of DoS attacks, including stochastic and deterministic, synchronous (where all communication links are simultaneously disrupted) and asynchronous (where only part of the communication links are disrupted each time). Moreover, since the attacker's behavior is unpredictable, we do not impose any limitations on the frequency and duration of DoS attacks.

A characteristic feature of communication networks is data transmission in packets, where flow is not continuous. Thus, time intervals between consecutive moments of sending/receiving data arise. Furthermore, these time intervals are not necessarily constant. If such a network is used for control, the control algorithm must ensure satisfactory behavior even when receiving data at non-uniform time intervals. This design requirement motivated our consideration of MASs with continuoustime and discrete-time subsystems. Therefore, our results apply to continuous-time and discrete-time systems, as well as to discrete-time systems with variable steps or systems that are combinations of discrete and continuous times.

The main contributions of the paper are summarized as follows. Firstly, in Section 3, we provide sufficient conditions for switched systems with continuous-time and discrete-time subsystems to be asymptotically stable. This result generalizes Theorem 1 proved in [16] and is consistent with results presented in [17,18], however, we do not use the time scale machinery and the positive regressivity assumption. Secondly, in Section 4, a switched system model is built to characterize the behavior of MAS under DoS attacks. In Theorems 4 and 5, conditions for the asymptotic stability of MASs under DoS attacks are given using switched systems. We emphasize that the traditional switching topologies differ from the changes caused by DoS attacks. Namely, switching caused by DoS attacks occurs among the original graph, which describes non-attack com-

^{*}e-mail: e.girejko@pb.edu.pl

munication topology, and subgraphs describing different attack modes. Next, in Section 5, MASs with non-attack communication topology modeled by quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs are discussed. In this case, simple conditions ensuring the asymptotic stability of MASs despite DoS attacks are provided. Further, through examples, we explain a design method for MASs that achieve asymptotic stability in a malicious DoS attack environment. The basic idea is that the original non-attacked networked MAS should mimic the net of edges in a respective quasi-Abelian Cayley graph G. If any communication channel in any quasi-Abelian Cayley subgraph \tilde{G} of graph G is attacked, the automatic security system should turn off the whole block of physical channels modeled by subgraph \tilde{G} . In such a case, as long as the attacks stay within the block of communication links modeled by one of the subgraphs of G, the networked MAS will continue to work in an asymptotically stable manner. Since for any natural number N, there exists a quasi-Abelian Cayley graph with N nodes, the method described above could be applied to any multi-agent system with N-agents.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, the information exchange among agents is modeled by undirected graphs and the systems are considered on arbitrary time domains. Therefore for the convenience of the reader we recall some notions and facts from graph theory and time scale calculus. Let G = (V, E) be a weighted communication graph of *n* agents, with the set of nodes (vertices) $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ and the set of edges $E \subseteq V \times V$. Each edge, denoted by (i, j), means that there is information flow from agent j to agent i. Matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that, $a_{ij} = 1$ if $(i, j) \in E$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, we call the adjacency matrix. Matrix $L = [l_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $l_{ii} = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}$ and $l_{ij} = -a_{ij}$, $i \neq j$, is called the graph Laplacian matrix induced by the topology G. By construction, Lhas at least one zero eigenvalue with a corresponding eigenvector $1_n = [1, \dots, 1]^T$. If for a certain graph G we have that $(j, i) \in E$ for every $(i, j) \in E$, then the graph is called undirected. Clearly, for an undirected graph matrices A and L are symmetric. Let $spec(L) := \{\lambda_i : j = 1, ..., n\}$ be the set of all eigenvalues of L, which are ordered $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \ldots \le \lambda_n$ for an undirected graph G. An undirected graph is connected if there exists a path between any two distinct nodes. For a connected graph we have $\lambda_2 > 0, \lambda_2 \in spec(L).$

In order to analyze a special (practical) case of our main result we need the following definitions and facts.

Definition 1. [19] Let *H* be a finite group and let $S \subseteq H$ be a subset. The corresponding Cayley graph Cay(H, S) has vertex set equal to *H*. Two vertices $(g, h) \in H$ are joined by a directed edge from *g* to *h* if and only if there exists $s \in S$ such that g = sh. Each edge is labeled to denote that it corresponds to $s \in S$. If *G* is a graph such that there exists a group H and generating set $S \subseteq H$ with $G \cong Cay(H, S)$, then *G* is said to be Cayley.

Remark 1. [19] The Cayley graph Cay(H,S) of a group H is undirected if and only if $S = S^{-1}$. Moreover, if S generates H, then the labeled Cayley graph Cay(H,S) uniquely determines H.

Example 1. [19] If H = Z/nZ and $S = \{1, -1\}$ then Cay(H, S) is the cycle on *n* vertices.

In what follows we assume that *S* does not contain the identity, so that Cay(H,S) does not contain any loops. Under this assumption Cay(H,S) is a connected and undirected regular graph of degree |S| on |H| vertices (without loops). Inside a single group one can often find different sets of generators with the same numbers of elements.

A Cayley graph Cay(H, S) is called quasi-Abelian if S is the union of some conjugacy of H.

Theorem 1. [20] All quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs on finite group H have a common basis of eigenfunctions and hence their Laplacian matrices commute.

3. SWITCHED SYSTEMS WITH CONTINUOUS-TIME AND DISCRETE-TIME SUBSYSTEMS

Let us consider the switched system that is composed of continuous-time subsystem

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) \tag{1}$$

and discrete-time subsystem

$$\Delta x(t) = A_d x(t), \tag{2}$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the state, $A_c, A_d \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. We do not assume that the sampling period of system (2) is constant, it can be a function of time. Moreover, we do not fix any switching law, that is, arbitrary switching is possible for the switched system composed of subsystems (1) and (2).

In the sequel, \mathbb{T} denotes the time domain of the considered switched systems. Clearly, $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $0 \in \mathbb{T}$ is the initial time, and \mathbb{T} is unbounded above and closed.

Definition 2. The switched system composed of subsystems (1) and (2) is asymptotically stable on \mathbb{T} if for any initial condition $x(0) = x_0$ the solution to (1)–(2) satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||x(t)|| = 0$.

To study the stability of switched system composed of (1) and (2) we adopt notions known from time scales theory [21].

Definition 3. Let $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ is defined by $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : t < s\}.$

Definition 4. The graininess function $\mu : \mathbb{T} \to [0, \infty]$ is defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Let us observe that for continuous-time system (1), $\sigma(t) = t$ and $\mu(t) = 0$, while for discrete-time system (2), $\sigma(t) > t$ and $\mu(t) > 0$, respectively.

With the introduced notions we may write subsystem (2) in the equivalent form

$$x(\sigma(t)) = (I + \mu(t)A_d)x(t), \tag{3}$$

where *I* is an identity matrix of dimension $N \times N$.

Example 2. Let *a* and *b* be positive numbers, and consider the switched system consisting of

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t), \quad t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [k(a+b), k(a+b) + a[$$
 (4)

and

 ∞

$$\Delta x(t) = A_d x(t) \quad t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{k(a+b)+a\}.$$
 (5)

Then
$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [k(a+b), k(a+b) + a],$$

$$\mu(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [k(a+b), k(a+b) + a], \\ b & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{k(a+b) + a\}, \end{cases}$$

and
$$x(t+b) = (I+bA_d)x(t)$$
 for $t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{k(a+b)+a\}.$

We make the following five assumptions on subsystems (1) and (3).

Assumption 1. $A_c A_d = A_d A_c$.

Let us observe that under Assumption 1 it holds

$$e^{A_c t} (1 + \mu(t)A_d) = (1 + \mu(t)A_d)e^{A_c t}$$
(6)

for any scalar $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Assumption 2. $A_c = A_c^T$ and $A_d = A_d^T$.

Observe that under Assumption 2 matrices A_c and A_d are diagonalizable, and all the eigenvalues of those matrices are real.

Assumption 3. The graininess function of system (3) fulfills 0 < 1 $\mu_{\min} \le \mu(t) \le \mu_{\max}$, where $\mu_{\min} := \min_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \{\mu(t)\}$ and $\mu_{\max} :=$ $\max_{t\in\mathbb{T}}\{\mu(t)\}.$

Let us denote spec $(A_c) = \{\lambda_i^c : j = 1, ..., N\}$ and spec $(A_d) =$ $\{\lambda_i^d : j = 1, ..., N\}.$

Assumption 4. A_c is Hurwitz stable, that is $\lambda_j^c < 0, j = 1, ..., N$. In order to provide stability conditions for discrete-time subsystem (3) we have to analyze the values: $|1 + \mu(t)\lambda_i^d|$, j = 1, ..., N, for $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mu(t) > 0$. To this end we consider the function $m(c) := |1 + c\lambda^d|, c \in [\mu_{\min}, \mu_{\max}]$. Observe that: i) If $\lambda^d \ge 0$, then $\max_{\mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}} \{|1 + c\lambda^d|\} = |1 + \mu_{\max}\lambda^d|$.

ii) If
$$\lambda^d < 0$$
 and $\mu_{\min} \ge -\frac{1}{\lambda^d}$, then $\max_{\mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}} \{|1 + c\lambda^d|\} = |1 + \mu_{\max}\lambda^d|$.

iii) If $\lambda^d < 0$ and $\mu_{\min} < -\frac{1}{\lambda^d}$, then $\max_{\mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}} \{|1 + c\lambda^d|\} =$ $\max\{|1+\mu_{\min}\lambda^d|, |1+\mu_{\max}\lambda^d|\}.$

Assumption 5. A_d is stable, that is $\max_{\substack{\lambda_i^d \in \operatorname{spec}(A_d) \ \mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}}} \{|1 + c\lambda_j^d|\} = \delta < 1.$

Lemma 1. [22] Let F be a commuting family of diagonalizable linear operators on the finite-dimensional vector space V. There exists an ordered basis for V such that every operator in F is represented in that basis by a diagonal matrix.

Lemma 2. [23] If two matrices commute with each other, every non-degenerate eigenvector of one is also an eigenvector of the other.

Lemma 3. [24] If $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a commuting family of matrices, then there is a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that is an eigenvector of every $A \in F$.

The following Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 provide sufficient conditions for switched systems with continuous-time (1) and discrete-time subsystems (3) to be asymptotically stable.

Theorem 2. Under Assumptions 1–5, the switched system composed of subsystems (1) and (3) is asymptotically stable on \mathbb{T} .

Proof. First let us observe that for any time $\mathbb{T} \ni t > 0$ we can divide the interval [0, t] in such a way that $t = t_c + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(t_i)$, where t_c is the total duration time for continuous-time subsystems on m[0,t] and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(t_i)$ is the total duration time for discrete-time subsystems on the interval [0, t]. Furthermore, according to Assumptions 1 and 2, and by Lemmas 1, 2, and 3, one can express the solution to the considered switched system in the form

$$x(t) = x_0 \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j v_j e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + \mu(t_i) \lambda_j^d),$$
(7)

where $x_0 = x(0)$, c_j are constants, v_j are shared eigenvectors corresponding to $\lambda_i^{\bar{c}}$ and $\lambda_i^{\bar{d}}$. Thus

$$\|x(t)\| \le \|x_0\| \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| \|v_j\| e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \prod_{i=1}^m |1 + \mu(t_i)\lambda_j^d|.$$
(8)

Now, let us observe that for the fixed j and according to Assumptions 4 and 5, we have

$$e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \prod_{i=1}^m |1+\mu(t_i)\lambda_j^d| \le e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \delta^m \le e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(t_i) \frac{\ln \delta}{\mu_{\min}}} \le e^{\lambda_j t},$$

where $\lambda_j = \max\left\{\lambda_j^c, \frac{\ln \delta}{\mu_{\min}}\right\} < 0$. Proceeding analogously for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we get

$$||x(t)|| \le ||x_0|| \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| ||v_j|| e^{\lambda_j t},$$

where $\lambda_j < 0$ for all j = 1, ..., N. Therefore, $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| = 0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. Let $\mu(t_i) = a_i$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$, then under Assumptions 1–4, the switched system composed of subsystems (1) and (3) is asymptotically stable on \mathbb{T} .



Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2 the solution to the considered switched system is given by (7) and inequality (8) holds. Now, let us observe that for the fixed *j* we have

$$e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \prod_{i=1}^m |1 + \mu(t_i)\lambda_j^d| \le e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} \left(\max_{\mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}} \{|1 + c\lambda_j^d|\} \right)^m \le e^{\lambda_j^c t_c} e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(t_i) (-\lambda_j^c + \frac{\ln\max\mu_{\min} \le c \le \mu_{\max}\{|1 + c\lambda_j^d|\}}{\mu_{\min}})}.$$

Proceeding analogously for all $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \|x_0\| \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| \, \|v_j\| e^{\lambda_j^c t} \cdot \\ &\cdot e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(t_i)(-\lambda_j^c + \frac{\ln\max\mu_{\min} \leq c \leq \mu_{\max}\{|1+c,\lambda_j^d|\}}{\mu_{\min}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_j^c < 0$, j = 1, ..., N, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(t_i) < \infty$, it follows that $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x(t)|| = 0$. This completes the proof. \Box

Example 3. We consider the switched system composed of

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t), \quad t \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2^k + \frac{1}{2^k}, 2^{k+1} \right]$$
 (9)

and

$$\Delta x(t) = A_d x(t) \quad t \in \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{k+1}\}.$$
 (10)

Then
$$\mathbb{T} = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2^k + \frac{1}{2^k}, 2^{k+1} \right]$$
 and

$$\mu(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[2^k + \frac{1}{2^k}, 2^{k+1} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{k+1}\}, \\ 2\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then one gets $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(t_i) = 2\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} < \infty.$

Note that, Theorems 2 and 3 evidently show that the stability of the discrete-time subsystem essentially depends on the size of the discrete steps. In consequence, when the discrete step size is variable in time, the stability conditions strongly depend on μ_{\min} and μ_{\max} .

Remark 2. Theorems 2 and 3 remain true for more than two subsystems satisfying assumptions of those theorems.

4. DOS ATTACKS

Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a time-domain such that $0 \in \mathbb{T}$, and \mathbb{T} is unbounded above and closed. Consider a set of *N* agents interacting over a communication network. The interaction topology of the network is represented by undirected graph $\mathscr{G} = (V, E)$ with the set of nodes $V = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ and edges $E \subseteq V \times V$. We are interested in a very general situation where DoS attacks could occur on some or all transmission channels at any time.

Let us define:

- Ψ_(i,j)[a,b]_T, i < j, as the union of moments of DoS attacks on edge (channel) (i, j) ∈ E over [a,b]_T;
- $\Upsilon(t) := \{(i, j) \in E \mid t \in \Psi_{(i, j)} [0, \infty[T]\}$ as the set of edges (channels) which are attacked at time *t*.

Here and subsequently $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} := [a,b] \cap \mathbb{T}$.

Remark 3. Since edges (i, j) and (j, i) are seen as one channel, only edge (i, j) with i < j is considered and $\Psi_{(i,j)} = \Psi_{(j,i)}$.

Let Θ be a set of all subsets of the set of all connections between every two different nodes in graph \mathcal{G} . In other words, setting $\mathscr{E} = \{(i, j) : 1 \le i, j \le N \land i < j\}$ we can say that Θ is the set of all subsets of the set \mathscr{E} and $|\Theta| = 2^{|\mathscr{E}|}$. Obviously, $\Upsilon(t), t \in [0, \infty[_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ are elements of } \Theta]$. Note that, at a given time $t \in [0, \infty[_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ one and only one from } 2^{|\mathscr{E}|} = 2^{\frac{|\mathscr{E}|}{2}} \text{ possible attacks}$ modes may happen. Hence, to every $t \in [0, \infty]_{\mathbb{T}}$ corresponds a unique element of the set Θ . Now, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be the adjacency matrix of \mathscr{G} and L its Laplacian. To describe a DoS attack at time t we introduce a matrix $L_{\Upsilon(t)}$ that is defined as L with $a_{ij} = 0$ for $(j,i) \notin \Upsilon(t)$. Precisely, if channel (j,i) is not attacked at time t, then in matrix $L_{\Upsilon(t)}$ we have $a_{ij} = 0$. By introducing bijection map $f: \Theta \to \{1, ..., 2^{|\mathscr{E}|}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ numbering the elements of set Θ , we define switching signal $\kappa : \mathbb{T} \to \{1, ..., 2^{|\mathcal{E}|}\}$ as $\kappa(t) := f(\Upsilon(t))$. By definition, κ is piecewise continuous. Consequently, with the attack mode at $t \in [0, \infty]_{\mathbb{T}}$, we associate a matrix $A_{\kappa(t)}$ defined by

$$A_{\kappa(t)} \coloneqq L - L_{\Upsilon(t)}. \tag{11}$$

4.1. Stability under DoS attack

Suppose each node of a graph $\mathscr{G} = (V, E)$ represents a dynamic agent with dynamics described by continuous-time equations

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = px_i(t) + qu_i(t),$$
 (12)

and discrete-time equation

$$x_i(\sigma(t)) = x_i(t) + \mu(t)(px_i(t) + qu_i(t)), \quad (13)$$

where $x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the state and the control input at time *t* of node *i*, *i* = 1,...,*N*, respectively. The two parameters $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ will be specified later. We consider the switched dynamics for each agent and a corresponding switching law is governed by a particular time-domain \mathbb{T} . Namely, on continuous part of \mathbb{T} we deal with equation (12), while on discrete part of \mathbb{T} with equation (13). The state-feedback distributed control for multi-agent system composed of (12) and



(13) is proposed as follows

$$u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1,(j,i)\notin \Upsilon(t)}^N a_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t)), \quad i = 1, ..., N, \quad (14)$$

where $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the adjacency matrix of graph \mathscr{G} describing network of multi-agent system composed of (12) and (13), $u_i : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$. Accordingly, the network dynamics becomes

$$\dot{x}(t) = (pI_N - q(L - L_{\Upsilon(t)}))x(t),$$
(15)

for continuous-time and

$$x(\sigma(t)) = (I_N + \mu(t)(pI_N - q(L - L_{\Upsilon(t)})))x(t), \quad (16)$$

for discrete-time, where $x = [x_1, ..., x_N]^T$. On account of formula (11), we obtain the switched system composed of continuous-time subsystems

$$\dot{x}(t) \in \{(pI_N - qA_\kappa)x(t)\}_{\kappa \in I},\tag{17}$$

and discrete-time subsystems

$$x(\sigma(t)) \in \{ (I_N + \mu(t)(pI_N - qA_{\kappa}))x(t) \}_{\kappa \in I},$$
 (18)

that represent multi-agent system (12)-(13) under DoS attack.

Below we state conditions on parameters *p* and *q* that guarantee asymptotic stability of multi-agent system (12)–(13) under DoS attacks. To this end, let us denote spec(A_{κ}) = { $\lambda_j^{\kappa} : j = 1, ..., N$ }, $\kappa \in I$, and

$$\lambda_{\max} = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \kappa \in I} \lambda_j^{\kappa}.$$
 (19)

Remark 4. Observe that, by formula (11), matrices A_{κ} are principal submatrices of Laplacian matrix *L*, it imlpies that λ_{\max} is the largest eigenvalue of *L*.

Theorem 4. Let Assumptions 1–3 hold for all matrices from the family $\{A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I\}$, and one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

i) if
$$q > 0$$
, then $\frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{\mu_{\max}} + p \right) > \lambda_{\max}$
ii) if $q < 0$, then $\frac{p}{q} > \lambda_{\max}$.

Then multi-agent system composed of subsystems (15) and (16) under DoS attacks is asymptotically stable provided $p \in \left(-\frac{2}{\mu_{\text{max}}}, 0\right)$.

Proof. First, let us observe that the matrices A_{κ} are positive semi-definite. We notice that $\lambda_{\max} > 0$; otherwise, all matrices A_{κ} would have zero entries.

We begin with assumption i).

From inequality
$$\frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{\mu_{\max}} + p \right) > \lambda_{\max}$$
 we get
 $\frac{2}{\mu(t_i)} + p > q \cdot \lambda_j^{\kappa}$ for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$ and $i \ge 1$. (20)

On the other hand,
$$q > \frac{p}{\lambda_{\text{max}}}$$
 for $p < 0$, thus

$$\mu(t_i)(b\lambda_j^{\kappa} - p) > 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, N, \kappa \in I$$
 (21)

and $i \ge 1$.

From (20) and (21) we conclude that

$$|1 + \mu(t_i)(p - q\lambda_i^{\kappa})| < 1,$$
(22)

what together with the observation spec{ $I_N + \mu(t_i)(pI_N - qA_\kappa)$ } = { $1 + \mu(t_i)(p - q\lambda_j^\kappa)$: $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$ } means that system (16) fulfills Assumption 5. Moreover, one can notice that in the considered case of assumptions, system (15) is always asymptotically stable. Indeed, inequality $q > \frac{P}{\lambda_{\text{max}}}$ implies that $p - q\lambda_j^\kappa < 0$, for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$. Since spec{ $pI_N - qA_\kappa$ } = { $p - q\lambda_j^\kappa : j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$ }, we conclude that system (15) fulfills Assumption 4. By Theorem 2 we get the thesis.

Next, let us consider assumption ii): q < 0, then $q > \frac{p}{\lambda_{\max}}$. Since $p \in \left(-\frac{2}{\mu_{\max}}, 0\right)$, it means that $p - q\lambda_j^{\kappa} < 0$, for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$. It implies that system (15) is Hurwitz stable (Assumption 4 holds). Moreover, from $q > \frac{p}{\lambda_{\max}}$ one gets $\mu(t_i)(p - q\lambda_j^{\kappa}) < 0$, what leads us to

$$1 + \mu(t_i)(p - q\lambda_i^{\kappa}) < 1.$$
 (23)

Furthermore, observe that $q\lambda_j^{\kappa} , what implies that for all <math>j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$,

$$1 + \mu(t_i)(p - q\lambda_i^{\kappa}) > -1.$$
(24)

By inequalities (23) and (24) we conclude that system (16) fulfills Assumption 5. By Theorem 2 we get that multi-agent system composed of subsystems (15) and (16) under DoS attacks is asymptotically stable, what finishes the proof.

Remark 5. Observe that if q = 0 in system (12)–(13), then it is enough that $p \in \left(-\frac{2}{\mu_{\text{max}}}, 0\right)$ for the system composed of systems (15) and (16) to be asymptotically stable under DoS attacks.

Theorem 5. Let $\mu(t_i) = a_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$. Moreover, let Assumptions 1–3 be satisfied for all matrices from the family

sumptions 1–3 be satisfied for all matrices from the family $\{A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I\}$. If one of the following conditions holds: i) p < 0 and $q \ge 0$,

ii)
$$p < 0, q < 0$$
 and $\frac{p}{q} > \lambda_{\text{max}}$,

then multi-agent switched system composed of subsystems (15) and (16) is asymptotically stable under DoS attacks.

Proof. We lead the proof only for condition ii) since condition i) trivially implies the thesis. First we show that system (15) is Hurwitz stable. As before, we observe that spec{ $pI_N - qA_{\kappa}$ } = { $p - q\lambda_j^{\kappa} : j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$ }, so it is enough to show that $p - q\lambda_j^{\kappa} < 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$. A_{κ} are positive semidefinite matrices, so $\lambda_j^{\kappa} \ge 0$ for every $\lambda_j^{\kappa} \in spec{A_{\kappa}}$. Moreover, condition $\frac{p}{q} > \lambda_{\max}$ implies that $p < \lambda_j^{\kappa} q$ for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$. Thus $p - q\lambda_j^{\kappa} < 0$ only if p, q < 0, for all $j = 1, ..., N, \kappa \in I$. The latter means that matrices $pI_N - qA_{\kappa}$ are Hurwitz stable. Since Assumptions 1–4 are satisfied and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$ for $\mu(t_i) = a_i$, by Theorem 3, the thesis holds.

5. APPLICATIONS OF CAYLEY GRAPHS TO DOS PROTECTION

In this section, we assume that the network of agents' topology is described by the Cayley graph G, with the corresponding adjacency matrix A having entries 0 and 1.

Lemma 4. (cf. [25]) If $\{A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I\}$ is a family of matrices given by formula (11), where *L* is Laplacian of the Cayley graph, then the following inequalities hold true:

i) $\lambda_{\max} \ge 1 + \max_{x} d_{x}$, ii) $\lambda_{\max} \ge \frac{\sum_{x} d_{x}}{N-1}$,

where λ_{max} is given by formula (19), d_x is the degree of the vertex x.

Theorem 6. Let Assumption 3 be satisfied and one of the following conditions holds:

i) if
$$q > 0$$
, then $\frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{\mu_{\max}} + p \right) > \lambda_{\max}$.
ii) if $q < 0$, then $\frac{p}{q} > \lambda_{\max}$.

Then multi-agent system composed of subsystems (15) and (16) under DoS attacks is asymptotically stable provided $p \in \left(-\frac{2}{\mu_{\text{max}}}, 0\right)$.

Proof. First, let us observe that Assumptions 1–2 are fulfilled since the matrices $A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I$ correspond to Cayley graphs and subgraphs. Furthermore, assumptions i) and ii) imply, as shown in Theorem 4, that Assumptions 4 and 5 are satisfied, so the thesis holds.

Theorem 7. Let $\mu(t_i) = a_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$. Moreover, let As-

sumptions 3 be satisfied. If one of the following conditions holds:

i) p < 0 and $q \ge 0$, ii) p < 0, q < 0 and $\frac{p}{q} > \lambda_{\text{max}}$,

then multi-agent system composed of subsystems (15) and (16) is asymptotically stable under DoS attacks.

Proof. Again, Assumptions 1–2 are fulfilled for the matrices $A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I$ corresponding to Cayley graphs and subgraphs. Thus, the thesis holds by Theorem 5.

Remark 6. Let us observe that in Theorems 6 and 7, one can use the inequalities provided in Lemma 4 instead of λ_{max} .

5.1. Examples

In this section, in order to keep the network working, we consider the situation, when the deployment of agents (devices) is modelled by the quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs $G_{\kappa} = Cay_{\kappa}(H_{\kappa}, S_{\kappa}), \kappa \in I$. It implies that vertex sets can be any finite simple non-abelian group. As an example of generators of such a group, let us consider S_1, S_2, S_3 as follows: $S_1 = \{e, y, y^2, y^3, x, xy, xy^2, xy^3\}, S_2 = \{xy, xy^2, xy^3\}, S_3 = \{y, y^3\}$. It means that the network consists of eight cooperating devices (agents). In Fig. 1, we present three graphs G_1, G_2, G_3 that correspond to generators S_1, S_2, S_3 , respectively. Graph G_1 illustrates the original communication topology (the situation without DoS

attacks), while by G_2 different 2^{16} attack modes are modelled and the rest of 2^8 possible different attack modes by graph G_3 . It should be understood as follows: if any communication channels of the subgraphs G_2 or G_3 are attacked, the automatic security system should turn off all the physical channels (between cooperating devices) represented by all the edges of the corresponding subgraph G_2 or G_3 .



Fig. 1. Three considered situations for the network of eight collaborating devices

Consequently, there are three matrices: A_1 is the Laplacian matrix of the original communication topology, A_2 that is associated with different 2^{16} attack scenarios, A_3 that is associated with the rest of 2^8 possible attack scenarios. Therefore $\{A_{\kappa}, \kappa \in I\}, I = \{1, 2, 3\}, \text{ and } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 6.$



	2	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	
<i>A</i> ₃ =	0	2	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	
	-1	-1	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	-1	-1	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	2	0	-1	-1	,
	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	-1	
	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	2	0	
	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	2	

In what follows, we show how to apply Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 in particular situations. Namely, we consider two time domains: $\mathbb{T}_1 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [k(0,00003), k(0,00003) + 0,000001]$ (see Example 2) and $\mathbb{T}_2 = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [2^k + \frac{1}{2^k}, 2^{k+1}]$ (see Example 3). In the case of \mathbb{T}_1 , we use Theorem 6 with $\mu_{\max} = 0,000002$. Since for \mathbb{T}_2 we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(t_i) = 2\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} < \infty$. Theorem 7 is employed. ∞ , Theorem 7 is applicable.

Example 4. Let us analyze the situation when there is no attack in the first interval [0,0.000001]. In the second interval [0.000003, 0.000004], at least one of the physical channels that correspond to edges in the subgraph G_3 is attacked, while in the next interval [0.000006, 0.000007], DoS attack appears on at least one of physical channels that correspond to edges of subgraph G_2 . In the interval [0.000009, 0.00001], once again, at least one of the links in the subgraph G_3 is attacked and so on, such that attacks are launched in any order. It follows that the switching signal is:

$$\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0, 0.000001] \\ 2, & t \in [0.000003, 0.000004] \\ 3, & t \in [0.000006, 0.000007] \\ 2, & t \in [0.000009, 0.00001] \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

with the graph presented in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Switching signal for the system with eight agents subject to DoS attacks

The behavior of the network of agents is illustrated in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Figure 3 shows asymptotic stability, when: (a) p =-9999999, q = -300000. In both cases, the initial conditions are $x_1(0) = 1, x_2(0) = 3, x_3(0) = 6, x_4(0) = 0, x_5(0) = \frac{1}{2}, x_6(0) = 4,$ $x_7(0) = \frac{3}{2}, x_8(0) = 5.$



Fig. 3. The evolution in time of the network of eight devices under DoS attacks is asymptotically stable



www.journals.pan.pl

E. Girejko and A. Malinowska



Fig. 4. The evolution in time of the network of eight devices under DoS attacks that is not asymptotically stable

Example 5. In this example, we analyze the situation when there is no attack at the initial time, and in the time interval $\left[\frac{5}{2}, 4\right]$. Then in the interval $\left[\frac{17}{4}, 8\right]$, at least one of the physical channels that correspond to edges in the subgraph G_2 is attacked, while in the interval $\left[\frac{65}{8}, 16\right]$, DoS attack appears on at least one of the physical channels that correspond to edges in the subgraph G_3 and so on, such that attacks are launched in any order. What follows, the switching signal is:

$$\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in \{0\} \cup \left[\frac{5}{2}, 4\right], \\ 3, & t \in \left[\frac{17}{4}, 8\right], \\ 2, & t \in \left[\frac{65}{8}, 16\right] \\ \vdots \end{cases},$$

with the graph presented in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Switching signal for the system with eight agents subject to DoS attacks

The behavior of the network of agents is illustrated in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Figure 6 shows asymptotic stability, when: (a) p = -10, q = -1; (b) p = -1, q = 1. In Fig. 7 one can see instability, when p = -1, q = -1. In both cases, the initial conditions are $x_1(0) = 1$, $x_2(0) = 3$, $x_3(0) = 6$, $x_4(0) = 0$, $x_5(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, $x_6(0) = 4$, $x_7(0) = \frac{3}{2}$, $x_8(0) = 5$.

5.2. How to apply the presented design method in practice?

The main idea of applying the presented method in practice is as follows: the original non-attacked communication topology of the MAS should mimic the network of edges in a quasi-Abelian Cayley graph G with the appropriate number of nodes. In the case where at least one of the physical channels corresponding to edges in any quasi-Abelian Cayley subgraph of graph G is attacked, the automatic security system should turn off the entire block of communication links modeled by this subgraph.

By applying Theorems 6 or 7, as long as the attacks stay within the block of physical channels modeled by any quasi-Abelian Cayley subgraph of graph G, the entire networked MAS will continue to work in an asymptotically stable manner.

The crucial factors that guarantee the success of this design method are:

• Laplacian matrices of quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs on the finite group commute.

On applications of quasi-Abelian Cayley graphs to Denial-of-Service protection





• For any natural number N there exists a quasi-Abelian Cayley graph with N nodes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the Bialystok University of Technology Grant No. WZ/WI-IIT/2/2023, financed from a subsidy provided by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education in Poland..

REFERENCES

- A. Cetinkaya, H. Ishii, and T. Hayakawa, "An overview on denial-of-service attacks in control systems: attack models and security analyses," *Entropy*, vol. 21, no. 2, p. 210, 2019, doi: 10.3390/e21020210.
- [2] B. Chang, X. Mu, Z. Yang, and J. Fang, "Event-based secure consensus of muti-agent systems under asynchronous DoS attacks," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 401, p. 126120, 2021, doi: .



Fig. 7. The evolution in time of the network of eight devices under DoS attacks that is not asymptotically stable

- [3] Z. Feng and G. Hu, "Secure cooperative event-triggered control of linear multiagent systems under DoS attacks," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 741–752, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2019.2892032.
- [4] Y. Liu, "Secure control of networked switched systems with random DoS attacks via event-triggered approach," *Int. J. Control Autom. Syst.*, vol. 18, pp. 2572–2579, 2020.
- [5] J. Liu and X. Wang, "Secure consensus control for multi-agent systems subject to consecutive asynchronous DoS attacks," *Int. J. Control Autom. Syst.*, vol. 21, pp. 61–70, 2023, doi: 10.1007/ s12555-021-0564-4.
- [6] H. Liu, "Event-triggering-based leader-following bounded consensus of multi-agent systems under DoS attacks," *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, vol. 89, p. 105342, 2020.
- [7] P. Wang, G. Wen, and W. Yu, "Consensus tracking of linear multiagent systems with undirected switching communication topologies under impulsive disturbances," in 15th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision (ICARCV), Singapore, 2018, pp. 815–819.



- [8] Y. Xu, M. Fang, Z.-G. Wu, Y.-J. Pan, M. Chadli, and T. Huang, "Input-based event-triggering consensus of multiagent systems under denial-of-service attacks," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1455–1464, 2020, doi: 10.1109/TSMC. 2018.2875250.
- [9] Y. Yang, H. Xu, and D. Yue, "Observer-based distributed secure consensus control of a class of linear multi-agent systems subject to random attacks," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Regul. Pap.*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 3089–3099, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TCSI. 2019.2904747.
- [10] L. Zha, J. Liu, and J. Cao, "Resilient event-triggered consensus control for nonlinear muti-agent systems with DoS attacks," *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 356, no. 13, pp. 7071–7090, 2019.
- [11] H. Zhang, Y. Qi, J. Wu, L. Fu, and L. He, "DoS attack energy management against remote state estimation," *IEEE Trans. Control Netw. Syst.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 383–394, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCNS.2016.2614099.
- [12] P.H.S. Coutinho, I. Bessa, P.S.P. Pessim, and R.M. Palhares, "A switching approach to event-triggered control systems under denial-of-service attacks," *Nonlinear Anal.-Hybrid Syst.*, vol. 50, p. 101383, 2023.
- [13] C. De Persis and P. Tesi, "Input-to-state stabilizing control under denial-of-service," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 2930–2944, 2015.
- [14] C. De Persis and P. Tesi, "Networked control of nonlinear systems under denial-of-service," *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 96, pp. 124– 131, 2016.
- [15] V.S. Dolk, P. Tesi, C. De Persis, and W.P.M.H. Heemels, "Eventtriggered control systems under denial-of-service attacks," *IEEE Trans. Control Netw. Syst.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 93–105, 2016.

- [16] G. Zhai, H. Lin, A.N. Michel, and K. Yasuda, "Stability analysis for switched systems with continuous-time and discretetime subsystems," in *Proceedings of the 2004 American Control Conference*, Boston, USA, 2004, vol. 5, pp. 4555–4560, doi: 10.23919/ACC.2004.1384029.
- [17] G. Eisenbarth, J.M. Davis, and I. Gravagne, "Stability of simultaneously triangularizable switched systems on hybrid domains," *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, vol. 63, pp. 1–19, 2014.
- [18] F.Z. Taousser, M. Defoort, and M. Djemai, "Stability analysis of a class of switched linear systems on non-uniform time domains," *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 74, pp. 24–31, 2014, doi: 10.1016/ j.sysconle.2014.09.012.
- [19] A.V. Kelarev, "On undirected Cayley graphs," Australas. J. Comb., vol. 25, pp. 73–78 2002.
- [20] T. Biyikoglu, J. Leydold, and P.F. Stadler, *Laplacian eigenvectors of graphs: Perron-Frobenius and Faber-Krahn type theorems*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2007.
- [21] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [22] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze, *Linear Algebra*, Prentice-hall, inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1961.
- [23] A.W. Joshi, *Matrices and Tensor in Physics*, New Age International Publishers, 1995.
- [24] R.A. Horn and Ch.R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [25] W.H. Haemers, "On some problems of Lovász concerning the Shannon capacity of graphs," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, vol. 25, pp. 231–232, 1979.